

CIL

A working party reviewed the suggestions from residents in respect of suitable uses of the £11,000 grant received from Suffolk.

The eligibility of the suggestions was rated to ensure that the proposed use of funds complied with the agreed terms usage of CIL monies. The key restriction is that any proposal must be a capital project.

Projects were immediately disqualified where:

- Use of funds was a community engagement project.
- Capital enhancements to assets not owned by the parish council.
- Required budget more than CIL fund.
- Budget already exists within parish reserves.

The working party resolved to submit a summary of the requests to the PCC for further review.

Michael Walton
Clerk

CIL suggestions from Drinkstone residents

<u>Initiative</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Capex?</u>	<u>Observations</u>
Speed Camera		Yes	To be discussed
play park equipment		Yes	To be discussed
More SIDs	£3000 each	Yes	To be discussed
Horse Riding Warning signs on highway	£500 to £1000	Yes	To be discussed
More Play park Equipment	Medium to Large	Yes	To be discussed
Seating on Cricket		Yes	To be discussed
Refurbish village sign		Yes	To be discussed
Marsh Green Cottage / Hall farm - poo bins		Yes	To be discussed
Extra benches between tim & Robert Baker		no	To be discussed
Rewilding Verges		No	Soon overgrown and get damaged
Tarmac cycle path	Large	Yes	Quote in excess of CIL budget
Outdoor Fridge and Larder (Food Bank)	Medium	Yes	Ongoing management issue. Tried before with poor take up.
Outdoor Gym		Yes	Ongoing maintenance and neglect and safety
Reduce the Precept		No	Not CIL as its not Capex.
Maintenance contracts for footpaths		No	Not CIL as its not Capex.
Village Walks and Breakfasts		No	Not CIL - Community engagement activity
Rounders Match		No	Not CIL - Community engagement activity
Personal Trainer to run fitness classes		No	Not CIL - Community engagement activity
Hog Roast		No	Not CIL - Community engagement activity
Cheese Rolling Contest		No	Not CIL - Community engagement activity
Village Fete and Veg Show.		no	Not CIL - Community engagement activity
Dummy Speed Camera		Yes	Not appropriate
Widen Footpaths	V Large		Land ownership issues - not appropriate
New footpath Blackbourne Meadows to Church	V Large		Land ownership issues - not appropriate
Beaver Dams	?		Land ownership issues - not appropriate
Dog Bins	£1500 for 3 or 4	Yes	Hill Farm, Rattlesden Road, possibly Cherry Tree replacement.
Litter Picking Tools for Volunteers	Small	Yes	Covered by current precept
Cider Press/Cooperative	Medium	Yes	Do we have that many apples?
Sleeping policemen			Dangerous with no street lighting
Tree planting			Covered by current precept
New nets on the basketball court on The Cricket.			Covered by current precept
Better management of the grass verges and hedges on Gedding Road between the sun station and Chapel Lane.			Covered by current precept
Defibrillator and housing	£1500	Yes	Church phone box
Wild Meadow	Potentially huge	Yes	Buying land is out of question.
Village bells			Asset not owned by PCC
Sails on Windmill	V Large		Asset not owned by PCC
Orchard on Rattlesden Road			Asset not owned by PCC
Daffodils			Already in reserves